

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
Acting United States Attorney

IOANA PETROU (CABN 170834)  
JONATHAN D. SCHMIDT (CABN 230646)  
Assistant United States Attorneys

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7189  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
[ioana.petrou@usdoj.gov](mailto:ioana.petrou@usdoj.gov)  
[jonathan.schmidt@usdoj.gov](mailto:jonathan.schmidt@usdoj.gov)

SONDRA L. MILLS (DCBN 367463)  
ALLAN GORDUS (MOBN 48210)  
Trial Attorneys

DOJ Office of Consumer Litigation  
Liberty Square Building  
450 5<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Sixth Floor South  
Telephone: (202) 616-2375  
FAX: (202) 514-8742  
[sondra.mills@usdoj.gov](mailto:sondra.mills@usdoj.gov)  
[allan.gordus@usdoj.gov](mailto:allan.gordus@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CR No. 08-0164 MHP
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del> ORDER
	)	EXCLUDING TIME
v.	)	
	)	
W. SCOTT HARKONEN,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

On May 5, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Honorable District Court Judge Marilyn Hall Patel and stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from May 5, 2008 to September 15, 2008, at which time the parties are scheduled to appear before Judge Patel for further status conference.

The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for effective preparation

1 of counsel given the complexity of the case, nature of the prosecution, and voluminous  
2 discovery, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

3 SO STIPULATED:

4 BRIAN J. STRETCH  
5 Acting United States Attorney

6 DATED: April 17, 2008

7 /s/  
8 IOANA PETROU  
9 Assistant United States Attorney

10 DATED: April 17, 2008

11 /s/  
12 WILLIAM M. GOODMAN  
13 Attorney for W. Scott Harkonen

14 As the Court found on May 5, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court finds that  
15 the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the  
16 defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act  
17 calculations from May 12, 2008 to September 15, 2008 for effective preparation of counsel. See  
18 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny counsel  
19 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the complexity of the  
20 case, nature of the prosecution, and voluminous discovery, and would result in a miscarriage of  
21 justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

22 SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: 5/6/2008

